UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

M. WIL	LIAM POTTS, III					
		Plaintiff(s)				
	V.		(CIVIL ACTION NO	04-40068-GAO	
D 41/10	N. I. MA/ININ					
DAVIL	L. WINN	Defendant(s)				
		JUE	OGMENT IN A CI	<u>VIL CASE</u>		
<u>O'TOC</u>	DLE , D.J.					
G		his action came before dered its verdict.	e the court for a	trial by jury. The is:	sues have been tried and	
Decision by the Court. This action came to trial or hearing before the Court. The issue been tried or heard and a decision has been rendered.					ourt. The issues have	
	IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED					
(1 st Cir. regard to relief not petition	dent has represer 2004), the petitio to the 10% policy ow being voluntar a sought further re		consistent with the luated for comme 2002 but invalid the petition der directing the	the decision in Gold nunity corrections c lated by Goldings. , the petition is mod e respondent to pla	dings v Winn, 383 F.3d 17 enter placement without To the extent that this ot. To the extent the ce the petitioner in a	
				TONY ANASTAS, CLERK OF COURT		
Dated:_	11/9/04			By <u>Paul S. Lynes:</u> Deputy		

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

M. WIL	LIAM POTTS, III					
		Plaintiff(s)				
	V.		(CIVIL ACTION NO	04-40068-GAO	
D 41/10	N. I. MA/ININ					
DAVIL	L. WINN	Defendant(s)				
		JUE	OGMENT IN A CI	<u>VIL CASE</u>		
<u>O'TOC</u>	DLE , D.J.					
G		his action came before dered its verdict.	e the court for a	trial by jury. The is:	sues have been tried and	
Decision by the Court. This action came to trial or hearing before the Court. The issue been tried or heard and a decision has been rendered.					ourt. The issues have	
	IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED					
(1 st Cir. regard to relief not petition	dent has represer 2004), the petitio to the 10% policy ow being voluntar a sought further re		consistent with the luated for comme 2002 but invalid the petition der directing the	the decision in Gold nunity corrections c lated by Goldings. , the petition is mod e respondent to pla	dings v Winn, 383 F.3d 17 enter placement without To the extent that this ot. To the extent the ce the petitioner in a	
				TONY ANASTAS, CLERK OF COURT		
Dated:_	11/9/04			By <u>Paul S. Lynes:</u> Deputy		

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

M. WILLIAM POTTS, III	
Plaintiff(s)	
V.	CIVIL ACTION NO. 04-40068-GAO
DAVID L. WINN Defendant(s)	
<u>JU</u>	JDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE
O'TOOLE , D.J.	
G Jury Verdict. This action came before the jury has rendered its verdict.	re the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and
Decision by the Court. This action could be been tried or heard and a decision has	ame to trial or hearing before the Court. The issues have as been rendered.
IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED	
respondent has represented to the Court that, (1st Cir. 2004), the petitioner is currently be ev regard to the 10% policy adopted in Decemberelief now being voluntarily provided was sougpetition sought further relief- in particular, and	r of 11/9/04, by the declaration of Patrick W. Ward, the consistent with the decision in Goldings v Winn, 383 F.3d 17 aluated for community corrections center placement without r 2002 but invalidated by Goldings. To the extent that this pht by the petition, the petition is moot. To the extent the order directing the respondent to place the petitioner in a months of his sentence- the petition is denied.
	TONY ANASTAS, CLERK OF COURT
Dated:11/9/04	By <u>Paul S. Lyness</u> Deputy Clerk

(Judgment Civil.wpd - 11/98)

[jgm.]

(Judgment Civil.wpd - 11/98)

[jgm.]

(Judgment Civil.wpd - 11/98)

[jgm.]